

CENSORING THE TRUTH (RIP DEMOCRACY)

INTRODUCTION

This article contains discussion of genuine human/democratic rights and civil liberties abuses with a focus on the restrictions to justice and individual freedom occurring whenever and wherever the truth is suppressed.

Firstly, it must be said that because influential criminals do control not only the bulk of the worlds wealth but also the more effective methods of information transfer (and personal expression) it is possible for them to severely limit peoples choices through censorship of the truth. For example, if a defence department (or one of its contractors) wants to develop an invention with a military application they get the government to place a secrecy order on it thereby gaining exclusive development rights and preventing the public from speculating on how it could be misused. Anyone attempting to expose the truth will then be covertly victimised, maybe even institutionalized. Also, to silence any wealthy/influential members of the community who may have an attack of conscience over the matter they use national security legislation, defamation laws, threats of scandals etc. It's all very neat, tight and totally undemocratic, allowing well-placed villains to dictate to us all. In fact secrecy orders are there to help governments to hide their crimes.

Another example relates to vital information connecting MP's with vested interest groups (affiliations, contributions, secret deals and so on). As a result the public is frequently hoodwinked by politicians and those that direct them. Accordingly, the voting, lobbying and protesting decisions that people make are often badly skewed because they are not in possession of all the facts. So, instead of governments being truly open and representative of the general population they usually reflect elitist attitudes on how society should be run and make decisions that favour the influential few. The rest of us are patronized with rhetoric and lies, being expected to trust people who are clearly not worthy of our trust. The systems of accountability (including the media) are inadequate and corrupt. MP's don't represent the people just because they're from the people, yet they should represent their views and ideals. Unfortunately democracy (government by the people) has become a remote notion not based in reality. It's only talk. Equally, the media don't inform the public or represent community standards on many issues; instead they harass and ostracise those that do; (refusing to even confront them lest their consciences be threatened by the truth). The barrier is placed there by agencies.

Our best solutions lie with our willingness to be open to the absolute truth and our demands that it be given to us. Only total disclosure will suffice as those entrusted with power are usually untrustworthy; abusing authority, opportunity and ability to make secret matters that belong in the public domain.

CENSORSHIP (OF WHAT AND BY WHO)

The simple truth is that wealthy criminals (who are above the law) control our natural resources, our financial institutions, our media outlets, our government beaurocracies, the spy networks and much much more. Most importantly they control the flow of information through the media which they also use to control/intimidate their opponents. Lies, rumours, half-truths, beat-ups, selective photography, selective

wording, editing and more keep public figures under thumb as they realise they can be destroyed by their secrets and publicly denigrated... Many public figures are involved in shady financial dealings, have drug habits, are involved in prostitution, gambling scams and so on which all facilitate this. As for non public figures, covert harassment techniques and degradation are used because even if they had something to hide they normally couldn't use it on them publicly as such people have no public profile.

So what do they censor, exactly? Certainly not explicit media sex and violence, profanity, lies, brainwashing material or anything else that generates big dollars. No, what they censor is the ugly truth about serious criminal activity that benefits very wealthy criminals and power brokers. We get part of the story when it's convenient or can't be hidden but usually we get nothing. I'll save discussion of advanced weapons and spying for later but a few examples follow...

EXAMPLE 1. WARFARE

A crisis, like the current Middle East situation, can be easily kicked off (or pushed along) by government agents (military or otherwise) who set up an atrocity (a murder or act of terrorism), often to pin the blame on the enemy i.e. they'll even kill their own people to stir up a conflict. The stirrers then retaliate to the atrocity; gaining for their masters the financial rewards they originally sought. The stirrer's reaction is backed by the public who only see the scenario from one angle; the one they're fed by the media. So while the whole thing is stage-managed, from acts of madness through to the eventual peace negotiations, profits are made by the guilty whilst the cost for the innocent is often death. Likewise for the not so innocent (but duped) pawns who are motivated to fight in the name of religious/cultural hatred, the results can be catastrophic. Those guinea pigs can even be subjected to torture/death courtesy of experimental weaponry which is trialed during such times. And any discussion of such problems in oral or written forms (including entertainment) can be stifled via covert censorship and corruption.

EXAMPLE 2. DRUGS

Most rock stars and models, many sport stars, MP's and journalists as well as numerous "artists", businessmen and other public "actors" are guilty of substance abuse. The public knows this but not the full extent of the problem. It's rampant. They also do not know of the connections between celebrities with "personal health" problems and those that compromise them over it. Aside from the obvious lack of character evident, because these people are monitored and blackmailable, they are also more likely to be coerced into other criminal conduct. As with drugs, the same can be said of any other criminal act that public figures have committed or been lured into by association; such as media surveillance feedback etc. And it must be remembered that it's a crime to fail to render assistance, to associate with criminals, to criminally harass or to be an accessory before, during or after the fact re any crime.

EXAMPLE 3. ASSASSINATIONS

Where public figures cannot be silenced and will not cooperate they can be publicly humiliated (over something as simple as an "arranged" traffic fine), killed or anything in between. The assassinations usually occur only where the whistle is about to be blown publicly on something serious. Dupes can take the blame or it can be called an

accident or a suicide etc but the fact is that the agencies and organised crime groups are usually behind it. For example, programmed assassin John Chapman was targeted with neurophone-based technology; transmitting voices into his head. These voices said God was instructing him to kill John Lennon then turn himself in. As a result the album Lennon was working on was never released, not even in an edited form. I wonder what he would've said about the developments of the last 20 years. Very convenient.

NB This sort of thing helps to scare other public figures into compliance and prevents them from helping victims of agency abuse.

Similarly, though less seriously, other outspoken peace advocates can be vilified, banned and so on just for opposing warfare. Notice how few celebrities have spoken out about the wars in Afghanistan, Iraq, Lebanon etc as opposed to the number protesting Vietnam. And I don't accept that conscription was the sole reason for protest back then. People now are too afraid or apathetic to care and those that do... well (for e.g.) the members of the group "Dixie Chicks" suffered abuse for openly opposing the latest Iraqi war and few others dared open their mouths until recently when the US governments stance softened enough to allow open objections to be raised.

Quite obviously, to harass anyone for holding an opinion is wrong (unless they're promoting criminal activity) yet the innocent are often silenced while the guilty carry on; promoting death and destruction for ulterior motives. The media misrepresent the truth (and the "players") on the world stage because they are corruptly controlled, like the MP's, businessmen etc. All too often the truth is censored and a stage-managed show takes its place. The public dutifully lap it up. And in the case of arranged murders not even the victims closest, most influential friends or family are willing or able to air their concerns publicly.

EXAMPLE 4. THE LAW

E.g. In the early '90's NSW state police Special Branch held criminal files on numerous politicians and journalists but was unable to properly investigate the serious political/corporate crimes involved; due to the "protected species" mentality surrounding celebrities as well as the covert harassment they themselves endured (as police monitored by criminals while they worked). Eventually, amid a flurry of discrediting media reports (some maybe correct) the Special Branch unit was disbanded and all files destroyed by the state government. Now, curiously, the corrupt MPs/journalists responsible for this obliteration were amongst those believed to be guilty of the crimes mentioned in the files. Funny that. Surely if having a few corrupt police in that unit meant that it needed to be disbanded we should see parliamentary dissolutions and media reshuffles at regular intervals since they're no better. However, that's not the case because they're protected by agency/criminal thugs and can do as they like.

NB As with everything I tell the reader this information is not based on mere speculation, observation or even just personal experience but on actual information supplied by those with direct knowledge and involvement. During 16 years of research, I've spoken to 100's of police, spies, military experts, journalists and political aides. For example, well known journalists who helped including Mike Minehan (ex Ch 9, ACA), Ross Saunders (ex Ch 2) and John Hill (ex Ch 10, News room supervisor). These were

amongst those that confirmed that media surveillance feedback and neurophone technology harassment are common criminal practices effected by the media mafia and the spy agencies. Nevertheless, if the reader wants the proof of any of the matters discussed here to come from an “official source” you’ll be waiting forever; that’s the whole point of this discussion... such information is secreted/censored and this sort of article is the only place you’re going to get it.

THE CRIMINAL THINKING BEHIND CENSORSHIP

As with most evil practices, wealth and power are the motivating factors behind controlling people through censorship. Yet because brainwashing, mushrooming and patronizing of the public doesn’t work on everyone “they” have their goons harass those that still question their conduct and thereby pose a perceived threat to their criminal empires. At the same time selection criteria and bastardization processes work to ensure the cooperation of most employees in the spy agencies, the military, the police, the media and government. Few will question what they’re told to read, do etc.

In fact most will not question any instructions that come from their bosses. Even within groups of businessmen, loyalty to their superiors and/or their criminal “mates” is usually put well before their duties to the general community. The bottom line (the end) justifies the means in their criminal minds and society is expected to applaud them for it; as always the criminal deludes himself by focusing on his results and ignoring his methods. For e.g. How many times have we all endured politicians placing their primary allegiances to their party and the party line, campaign contributors, wealthy socialites and so on above the best interests of the general community courtesy of some secret deal that favours the elite few at the expense of the majority. Being out of touch with the average citizen (yet frequently in contact with wealthy criminals) MP’s delude themselves to the point where some actually believe they have made the wisest moves; ignoring the criminality behind it. The same can be said of thieves of intellectual property, victimisers, drug cheats etc etc.

Again, those speaking the truth on such matters are ridiculed/silenced. In the case of knowledgeable, authoritative or well known people (such as honest scientists, spies, police or public figures) they can be set up for institutionalization or even death for just thinking about blowing the whistle. Further examples of public figures who were clearly killed were Hanse Cronje (cricketer, killed in a plane “accident” while working on a tell-all expose on match-fixing); similarly suspicious was the death of cricketer Bob Woolmer; Grace Kelly (Princess/actress, killed in a car “accident” after ordering the mafia to leave Monaco); Robert Kennedy (US AG, “assassinated” while fighting organised crime); Diana Spencer (princess, killed in a car “accident” when proving an embarrassment to the monarchy). The list goes on. There is also a real link between prominent peace advocates and orchestrated assassinations. At the risk of laboring the point, examples include John F Kennedy, Martin Luther King, Y. Rabin, A. Sadat and M. Ghandi. All made popular, peaceful moves and comments that threatened powerful criminals who profit from war/hatred etc. On each occasion there was more to the incident than the actions of a lone, crazed murderer and the media know it, so why don’t you... The media doesn’t wait for positive proof to speculate or comment about other matters... No, it’s because such things are done on behalf of the military/media/business conglomerate that rules the world and committed by agency (or agency provoked) goons.

Think about it... How many good people that strived (publicly) to “make the world a better place” died peacefully in their dotage? Not many. Ask yourself why. Do you think “they’re” going to tell you they do such things? No, they’d rather terrorise the public with lies about terrorism, preventable crimes, illnesses and more to justify their surveillance society (and reductions in civil liberties) and to occupy the public’s thinking while powerful criminals do as they please. No, they’d rather “dumb down” the population and keep them quiet. Our heads are filled with trivia and fairytales while the ugly truth remains hidden.

So the problem is the fact that the mainstream media influences, if not totally controls, what people know (and believe). They feign ignorance on some matters and lie about others so others have to strive to spread the actual truth on matters including the methods such people use to silence/discredit us. Meanwhile we quietly fight their systems of secrecy and oppression. Unfortunately such is the power of the media that if they told the public “the sun rises in the north” or even “God is an alien”, once such lies were reinforced with corrupted evidence, for many, that would become the new truth. There’s neither integrity nor sincerity in most of what they present and their greatest lies are lies of omission.

HOW THEY SILENCE PEOPLE

As previously mentioned, National security laws, defamation actions, media scandals, threats and more stop most influential people in their tracks. However, for private citizens, who cannot be bought or intimidated, more covert methods are used including high tech assaults from satellite/computer technology. Outspoken and authoritative scientists, spies etc may actually be killed but such extremes are not commonplace with others, though some victim’s suicide.

Firstly, whether you realise it or not, everyone has to endure total monitoring of all telecommunications by the NSA’s satellites/computers. However, as well as this the NSA, NRO, CIA etc spy on conversations, movements, writings and even brain waves. Having nothing to hide provides no protection. It’s the monitors who have something to hide and they target the innocent, leaving most of the guilty alone; to do their dirty work for them.

Now privacy invasions are not the only means of harassment... For persons of interest direct responses to private comments or actions can include sirens wailing, choppers hovering, planes buzzing, operatives interfering in their lives and so on. This can escalate to the infliction of debilitating pain/illness, psychological trauma, even death... as a result of laser-facilitated, mostly satellite-based, psychotronic or directed energy weapons. These are trained on targets as they’re tracked 24/7 by the government agencies who use these technologies. These extreme methods are usually reserved for the disempowered and non-connected. Regardless, the catalyst can be as innocuous as a political comment or even expressing likes/dislikes regarding TV/radio etc. This is because politics and the media are thoroughly protected operations and any “astute” criticism of them is “discouraged”. This “discouraging” can be done even in the privacy of the citizens residence. That practice is, of course, like all the criminal activities of the government spy agencies, made a matter of National security and so remains a secret; especially as 99% of the population would democratically object if they knew about it (the other 1% are the criminals). In this way “National Security” effectively secures the

positions of well placed criminals while denying the rest of us our basic human rights including the rights to privacy and freedom of expression. (It's noteworthy that the U.S haven't even signed the optional protocol of the Covenant on Civil and Political Rights).

NB On top of all this there are methods of putting thoughts/words into peoples heads using subliminal or audible neurophone-based technologies. Thoughts can also be extracted and transferred using these, in conjunction with mind reading technologies i.e. powerful computers take the results of satellite screening of the EMF/brain waves and interpret them... then disseminate them to complicit players or dupes. In this manner many targets field the words/thoughts/actions via "coincidences" that happen around them and blame the wrong people (appearing paranoid and being thus discredited). In reality many targets can be harassed at once by these methods.

In addition, all of the results of any satellite surveillance can be computer transferred, and fed to numerous not so innocent agency "ghost" writers or any criminally corrupt media outlet (as well as any operative or dupe) so that they can be intertwined into presented material so as to subtly/covertly humiliate, terrorise, destroy and discredit targets. Many spies are in fact writers who place material into, speeches, news items, ads, movies, songs etc. This, coupled with denial about this very common practice, makes the victims angry/bewildered responses appear to be unprovoked and therefore dangerously paranoid. The best response is therefore to do nothing although all targets let off steam at times to cope with the constant harassment. Meanwhile the guilty media identities, politicians, etc smugly present themselves to the public but secretly treat them as somehow inferior and deserving of whatever lies/"entertainment" they choose to feed them.

This leads me to the roles of other professionals (in the legal and medical fraternities) who compound the problems by prostituting their skills with no regard for justice or the truth. For example, psychiatrists in general are used to discredit those victimised/silenced using covert methods by declaring that such things don't happen (because there's no hard evidence). Also, psychiatrists working for agencies/mobsters may suggest unnecessary treatment for those merely questioning powerful, well-placed criminals. Some of the more corrupt in the "profession" even use the collected brain wave patterns of victims (as "harassed or influenced") and incorrectly correlate these patterns with those of known criminals. NB Anyone targeted by mood management technologies (et al) would be waging a constant mental battle with his/her tormentors anyway but this doesn't mean they are potential criminals. However, because the tormentors have a criminal bent (and little self restraint) they cannot understand this so they persist in trying to provoke normal law-abiding citizens. Occasionally they succeed with real murderers, terrorists etc but it's rare. Because most targets are chosen due to their hatred of crime; they are difficult to provoke.

Again, on psychiatry it's noteworthy that psychiatrists recommend "breeding out" schizophrenia which is supposedly passed on but it's really "ethic" cleansing that they're promoting. I subscribe to the view that psychiatry is a largely bogus profession/science invented and promoted to be used against those who question the powers that be, those who know too much and those presenting a social inconvenience. Most of its claims are not based on proof or in scientific fact. On top of this the brutality and inhumanity evident in the treatment of the (mostly experimental) victims is equaled only by the lies and deception evident in the "doctors" diagnoses. Complicit pharmaceutical companies

are also a party to their crimes. Personally I've only had one encounter with a corrupt psychiatrist and it was short-lived (10 mins) but I've spoken to many other victims. I honestly believe that to claim that those outside a profession (be it psychiatry, politics, journalism or whatever) aren't in a position to criticize those within is a transparent, defensive ploy aimed at restricting said criticism to whistleblowers. Observers from outside are objective enough to pinpoint professional carelessness or criminal misconduct within a profession and so their views must not be dismissed. Those within a profession or political party or club or media outlet or any group for that matter will naturally be reluctant to acknowledge corruption or fault whether through their own guilt or through blind faith and self delusion.

EXAMPLES OF CENSORED TRUTHS

- The world is dominated by secretive, powerful families including members of the Illuminati, the mafia and other such groups. They use government spies and their own goons to do their dirty work. They influence most governments, bureaucracies and corporations by one method or another.
- Despite the mass media's portrayal of spies as heroes, in reality most are criminals involved in the monitoring/harassment/murder of innocent people. They also infiltrate various writing roles intending to misinform and "dumb down" the population.
- The mainstream media is controlled by organised crime, restricted and influenced by government secrecy orders and centrally involved in the harassment of "do-gooders" with surveillance feedback and other criminal harassment. Independent media outlets are marginalised; with insignificant audiences. Three quarters of what's newsworthy is never presented and what takes its place is largely trivia; which we've been brainwashed to crave.
- There is enough food, water, clothing and shelter in the world for everyone but greed restricts the access to it.
- Classified, military technologies exist which can cause people or objects (including aircraft) to disappear or disintegrate, to levitate or deviate. They can affect the weather. They can also torment, injure or kill remotely. Most of it is laser-facilitated and satellite-based. It's trialled during wars (e.g. in Iraq and Lebanon) and on civilian targets (certain prisoners, psych inmates, peace advocates, whistleblowers, banned writers etc). It's illegal human experimentation and it's totally covered up.
- Secretive NSA/NRO satellite tracking and surveillance technologies monitor all telecommunications (and more) worldwide. These are computer analysed and stored. The NSA, CIA etc then intercept and use these along with the private face to face conversations/actions of persons of interest. As with the technologies mentioned below, NASA is primarily responsible for secretly delivering the developed technologies into space. Their cover is space exploration and alien searches which they successfully sell to the public as legitimate ventures.

- Technologies exist which allow voices, ideas, moods, pain etc to be delivered personally to targeted individuals. These can be radio wave, microwave or satellite laser delivered.
- The brain waves of many people are remotely monitored by satellite scanners and interpreted courtesy of more super computers each of which process more information than ten times the world's total population. One day, when this extends to the monitoring of everyone's brain waves, we will all be linked, 24/7, to the system in a futuristic, hellish computer state. To even think of challenging the evils of the criminals that rule the world would then bring instant harassment or death. So all dissent, all democracy will effectively end at that point. Without public exposure this is inevitable.
- Because of the aforementioned electromagnetic technologies (et al), terrorism, war and even serious local crime is all solvable if not totally preventable. However, because such technologies generate money and control emotions and their misuse excuses reductions in civil liberties etc you are not told about them. They are not used for good, only evil. In other words, terrorism, war, crime etc, suit the grand design of the globalists so they're not going to alert the public to the existence of the relevant technologies by using them to stop crime. Instead they continue to be used to oppress ordinary, law-abiding citizens who dare to protest (by whatever means) about the inequities/crimes of our systems and those responsible for them. It's all a black operation funded by criminal corporate entities and protected by government secrecy provisions.
- Despite pathetic/defensive cries of "If it ain't broke don't try and fix it" from those benefiting by maintaining the status quo, our less than perfect systems are deliberately flawed in order to favour the criminals guiding them. People of integrity who observe this are marginalised or eliminated so their voices cannot be heard. Systems examples follow:

E.g. 1 THE LEGAL SYSTEM It's inequitable and unjust, usually affording people only the justice they can afford. In a fair society justice would not be a commodity but a right. Consistency in verdicts and sentencing would then be the norm.

E.g. 2 THE PENAL SYSTEM It's barbaric; encouraging inequity, brutality and inhumanity. It exposes inmates to drugs, homosexuality and more serious offenders without the necessary protection of adequate segregation. It's an expensive solution when the only legitimate purpose of incarceration (in my view) is prevention.

E.g. 3 THE INFORMATION TRANSFER SYSTEM The media is owned, monitored, controlled and/or warped by organised crime figures and their spy agency connections. This is the most crucial element in their censorship package. They don't lie about everything but they omit or warp the most crucial information on the most important topics while filling our heads with lies or trivia. The public's right to know the truth is undeniable and should remain absolute.

Only criminals would manufacture reasons for secrecy on matters that are clearly of concern to everyone. The worst cover up is the fact that the government spy agencies commit heinous crimes with impunity. This is perhaps the most evil, undemocratic facet of the entire system of government and law enforcement and it affects everything else. Other examples of media cover ups include “cash for comment” scandals, subliminal brainwashing and so on.

Information is power and the people have very little of either. That which you do have is illusory; a con foisted on you by their front men (presenters who smile nicely and lie in your face through the mass media).

- Satellite surveillance, mind reading and neurophone technologies, coupled with media/corporate/political/corporate cooperation, allow for numerous deceptions which generate money/control. These include supernatural/psychic phenomena, “alien” encounters and the growing community belief that such things are real. The experiences are real but not the explanations. Despite the fact that some are common most victims are either dupes or targets of illegal experimentation. And while the cryptic/unreal references to the relevant technologies are numerous (films, songs, books, TV) nowhere is it spelt out clearly that government agencies and organised crime (Illuminati/Mafia) connections can and will rape minds, transmit voices, physically harm using lasers etc etc. In other words... Because of these technologies those experiencing certain psychic/alien/religious/paranormal phenomena should seriously question their assessments.
- Most published writers use unpublished writers’ works which have been submitted but discarded. They steal ideas, characters and more. So, just as you can’t believe anything you read from well known people you can’t disbelieve anecdotes to the contrary from silenced, nameless people because this is where most material is actually sourced.
- TV/radio call ins, interviews, comments, statistics and so on are often stage-managed; i.e. set up. Also the opinions given on air are often not those of the celebrity in question but the ones they’re told to go with. This is made easier because only like-minded or corruptible people are hired by media outlets to start with. The majority views of the general public are thereby suppressed/underrepresented and then, via a constant bombardment of the minority views that are aired, these majority views are gradually changed to suit the control freaks behind it all; i.e. those that dictate to us with smiles and hoop-la. By the way, it’s not surprising that talented public figures should participate in all of this especially since talent brings adulation which bestows power which is corruptible. All too often it’s about keeping the public calm and quiet. Even where the issue at hand rates vigorous comment or questioning of the authorities the media take a softened approach because of the corrupt connections behind the stage managed coverage. Simply put, they don’t want to stir people up to the point where they really care.

- While wars are fought over oil and other natural resources, information on frictionless engines and 1,000 other inventions has been suppressed because they negate the need for dwindling resources and would create a shift in infrastructures and power bases. Many other inventions that are not stopped are instead controlled tightly by the government which places secrecy orders on any development deemed to be of military value. In fact technologies exist which can remotely do anything from trigger an animal attack to popping the planet like a balloon. Man has been allowed to become more and more God-like in his abilities which may explain why so many in positions of power have megalomaniacal belief systems to rationalise their actions.
- Many diseases are created/invented and the cures to many others are suppressed. One reason for this is to allow human culling another is because there's more money (for doctors and corrupt, heartless pharmaceutical companies) in treatment than cure.
- The risks of many things are underplayed (deliberately) by the media to keep a check on public reactions. Examples include the health risks associated with our industrialised, pre packaged environment and activities. Even the dangers of things like swimming are understated e.g. unless there's evidence fatal encounters with sharks are listed as drownings even if there are witnesses.
- Martial law (absolute control of communications, travel, banking, wages, prices, assets etc) can be falsely triggered by a manufactured crisis. Terrorist attacks, widespread rioting, natural disasters, financial crises etc could all be arranged whenever deemed necessary (with ulterior motives).

Please note that any repetition here is to positively reinforce the message; there is far too much going on in the world today that people are not told about. And sadly people, especially in the affluent western democracies, are brainwashed/lulled into not questioning or caring thus allowing these cover ups to continue.

PREJUDICE AND POLITICAL CORRECTNESS AS COVERS

Many desperate, sometimes puerile arguments are offered by wealthy criminals in order to defend against the legitimate, sincere criticisms of genuinely concerned members of the general public. Their stock standard phrases include “You’re mad”, “You’re jealous” and so on but there are others, more sophisticated and better thought out. One of these is “prejudice” (for a minority situation) the other is the general notion of “political incorrectness” which, more times than not, is just a distraction or cover for moral/legal incorrectness.

Aside from nonsense about terminology (hey why say manager when you can say womanager anyway hmm) part of the thinking behind “political correctness” is that in the same way that the national flag can be the last recourse of the scoundrel, any association with particular groups can be a way of certain criminals evading criticism or being singled out. By connecting themselves to a religious, cultural, ethnic, political or sexual minority “Prejudice” can be the defense to all accusations of wrongdoing in an attempt to

shift guilt and deflect blame. So where even individual or “mateship” defences are inadequate “minority status” works most of the time especially if the accuser is, in some way, actually biased. In all cases labeling criticism as “prejudicially derogative”, by playing on simple semantics, phraseology and contextual misunderstanding, is the ploy. By doing this most people are opened up to false accusations of bigotry and the criminal has a general defence.

My argument is that people should speak plainly, not cryptically or euphemistically. Being tied to politically correct nonsense is a form of precious censorship. It’s a matter of simple, effective communication being restricted to reduce the incidence of feigned offence. How would it be if we got to the stage where even doctors, talking amongst themselves, said something ambiguous like “He’s got “men”? Does he have meningitis, meningococcal disease, or meniers disease? Is he mentally challenged or gay? No? It could be slang for “he has support”. Clearly such talk can create unnecessary confusion.

The simple fact is that we are all different but with similarities that are more obvious with reference to particular groups or our association with them. Now, especially with respect to criminal activity, we should have no problem identifying individuals or core groups of offenders by their recognizable titles. If, for example, you have a group of Middle Eastern youths who are gang raping Anglo-Saxon women (itself done out of a lack of respect and real prejudice) say so. Why should we allow “prejudice” to be used in their defence? Equally, but less seriously, if the members of a religious, sexual, ethnic or individual group (be it a minority or not) are hiring their own people exclusively (or even preferably) they are guilty of real prejudice that restricts opportunity for others and that also needs to be addressed. Again, often those who are the quickest to cry “racist”, “sexist”, “Nazi” etc are really that way themselves but are trying to silence others who are not biased just angry and indignant about the inequities and crimes for which certain members of the group are responsible. Generalisations are to be expected but you cannot solve any problem by limiting discussion of any aspect of it. Silly terminology restrictions and more do just that. They stifle genuine dialogue/writings on important matters and force us all to skirt around the truth.

Simply put, it isn’t discriminatory to question criminal conduct. Democratic rights do not extend to the right to mistreat others. No one suggests vilifying every litter bug or parking offender so the bulk of society’s resources (especially in law enforcement) need to be directed at serious offenders regardless of who they are or what they hide behind. Nevertheless, it appears that serious criminals (especially in high places) would rather target the misdemeanors (or even personalities) of their accusers in order to silence them.

As for those who are victims of crime etc, it’s only natural that anyone victimized by members of a particular group will be upset with them. You cannot expect targets to respectfully name each offender if the group is predominantly from a particular race, creed, occupation etc. Real prejudice is hating people because they are who or what they are as ordinary, law-abiding citizens not merely identifying offenders by the correct description that quickly and simply points them out.

To elaborate on this thinking, you also can’t really blame every member of a particular group of people when it’s clear that the majority have a different attitude to the offenders from that group. But equally you shouldn’t go into denial about well-

established events involving offenders from a group to which you belong just to protect yourself from labeling. That's tantamount to protecting criminals and fostering criminal behaviour; again via censorship. For example, certain Muslim extremists believe that Allah will reward them for carrying out suicide bombings against their enemies. The average Muslim is appalled by such a notion but they shouldn't deny it happens. Also the average Jew is just as horrified by the Jewish persecution of the early Christians (including the role of some Jews in Christ's death) as he or she is by the persecution of their own people during the holocaust of WW11. However, this doesn't mean that either set of events should be denied or forgotten, lest they be repeated. Final example (to prove I'm not biased), my own faith is based in Catholicism yet the Catholic church has committed/concealed crimes including the Spanish Inquisition and their more recent association with organised crime. The average Christian condemns such hypocrisy but you cannot pretend it doesn't happen. The only ones denying all of these things seem to be the non-average culprits and their criminal associates. You can pin the blame for a certain problem on Al Queda, Zionists, Jesuit spies, the man in the moon or any other suspect but the emphasis should be on right and wrong as delineated by honest observers; i.e. the "average" citizen.

Yet again, criminals must not be allowed to blur the line by clinging to their membership of a particular group. It's never the group that's to blame but a criminal element within it and those wolves must not be allowed to masquerade as sheep. And while on that, it's their lust for power and wealth, their ego(s) that generate conflict between groups in the first place when harmony would reign without them...

I reiterate, criminals simply must not be allowed to hide behind their national flags or anthems, their wealth of fame, their power or political affiliation, their family, mates, culture, creed, race, sex, colour or anything else. And they must not succeed in silencing critics with counteraccusations based on any of these things.

"ABOVE THE LAW" STATUS

All the problems outlined here could be eliminated if there was real accountability in politics, government and so on. Unfortunately even Federal police don't have the resources, training or power to stop wealthy criminals using high tech satellite surveillance/harassment technologies. I've spoken with many helpful officers in the relevant units but they are always powerless to assist (in any event), as "national security" is thrown at them by the agencies as a blanket defence to their crimes against humanity. Now this situation is arranged deliberately by the powers that be so it can be said that the police have a responsibility in this area yet they have no means of carrying out their duties. Similarly Ombudsman's offices, Inspector generals offices, Internal affairs units, Parliamentary committees even Royal commissions are all of limited effectiveness and therefore of dubious real value. They're often toothless tigers; there more for show than anything else. What all of this means is that we have connected individuals ("businessmen", journalists, politicians etc) operating outside the law without fear of challenge. That is not a democratic system or one worthy of respect. There should be adequate means of making officials and others accountable to ensure that corruption is limited. Instead we have phonies operating unfettered as community leaders, some even hiding behind feigned interest in "causes", whilst in reality they work

for and with those who actively persecute other people for really standing up for what is right or genuinely backing vital causes.

PROTECTION FROM COVERT ATTACKS

There are international treaties, conventions and the like which outline our rights as citizens and human beings. The Nuremberg code, The Geneva convention and The Universal Declaration of Human Rights are amongst these. The latter lists our rights to freedom of thought, speech, expression etc but although these rights live in the hearts and minds of the average law-abiding citizen, the political prisoner and so on they do not actually exist in reality. They are only there for show. So, when it comes to commentary on entrenched criminal activity, inequitable systems or government/corporate brainwashing there is no real protection. The powers that be (including their hypocritical front men who publicly ratify such treaties/conventions) only use their fine words as some sort of grand cover, to be trotted out to counter accusations that they're stifling free speech or hiding the ugly truth.

For example, in western democracies political leaders sombrely refer to the atrocities committed by governments that thumb their noses at UN requests. They proudly boast that such things couldn't happen in their lands but they do. The difference is that in the west covert undetectable methods are used (spies, high tech, the media mafia etc) and the media fails to report this. Consequently, victims not only have to deal with oppression but discreditation because society, in general, is brainwashed into believing that such things don't happen. And even if they did know most people, these days, are too apathetic to care unless it directly affects them.

Further, public figures are trusted and therefore are used to dull public perceptions. This effectively means that fewer people need to be oppressed; only those seeing behind the insincere words, the false smiles, and the celebrity hoo ha. These few then try to exercise their real democratic rights only to discover that such rights don't really exist. With Big Brother surveillance covering all telecommunications, as well as the conversations/actions of persons of interest and the thoughts of many anything except total subservient, ignorant acceptance of our systems and their representatives (lies and all) will lead to the sort of covert, criminal harassment I've been outlining. And there's no protection from that at all.

Just on real protection... The European Parliament and The UN have called for bans on all weapons for human manipulation; effectively exposing the procedures I've referred to (see unidir@unorg.ch and the EP resolution A4-0005/99, 28/1/99). However, because the media is part of the overall conspiracy of silence on the methods used (the media itself actively harassing targets with surveillance feedback etc) the public remains largely unaware of the problem and, consequently, are also unaware of any of the important matters which the victims wish to highlight. Yet without public demands there'll be no change. So... the heart of the problem, as I see it, is the central control of the mainstream media by organised crime figures and spies who work for the political/military/corporate conglomerate (which is the Godfather that Big Brother answers to).

THE VICTIMS: WHO'S TARGETED AND HOW

Again, anyone openly expressing views contrary to those foisted on the public by the ruling conglomerate is targeted. People of integrity, advocates of truth, peace, equity, justice, humanity and so on are attacked for even questioning obvious wrong doings. It's a process of "ethic cleansing" which some have termed "the silent holocaust". The challenging of the U.S. governments selective "world police" role is an example. If you so much as suspect that the U.S. may foster trouble in oil rich states to justify interference (whilst ignoring the plight of refugees etc in war torn third world countries, which have nothing to offer) and you say/write as much you will be oppressed by those same criminals. Similarly, if you argue that the ruling conglomerate fosters the drug trade or 1,000 other things, you will be oppressed for it.

Simply put, spies and criminals access advanced weapons to target those questioning high level criminal activity. They pretend the targets are somehow deserving of such treatment and use the cover of "National Security" to prevent intervention or discussion of their corrupt, criminal conduct. Complaints about such covert torture/harassment are then dismissed as paranoia (clearly all participating in such cowardly procedures belong in jail).

Now, some of the methods used to silence those who know too much and protest include the following:

- The infliction of pain, injury or death courtesy of directed energy weapons positioned (mainly) in space.
- The delivery of neurophone technology, (voices, noise, hallucinations etc) courtesy of psychotronic weapons positioned (mainly) in space.
- The monitoring and feedback of thoughts using state of the art computers/software which is linked (via satellite) to the brain waves of scanned targets. The individual bio electronic resonance frequency of each brain allows identification and the latest tracking/location technology sets it all up, again, via satellite.
- The media feedback of illegally obtained satellite surveillance results including the words, actions, thoughts and more (taken out of context) from the victims. These results are often deliberately misinterpreted to annoy the targets. This is all done via computer/agency transfer to the media.
- The on-the-ground harassment of victims using agency operatives, PI's, corrupt emergency services personnel, pilots etc.

Now, all of these are "black" operations partially funded by the same criminals who have their enemies placed in these programs and they expect a return (information, ideas etc) as well as the satisfaction of destroying their critics.

Humiliation, torture, scorn, marginalization and more beset the targets, often for the remainder of their lives. In effect they're not real threats but nuisances; nonetheless they're convenient guinea pigs for a policy of experimenting in human remote control. In other words; the powers that be do not kill most of the victims outright. Instead high tech computers facilitate surveillance, harassment and so on.

Now, fear, greed, apathy, ignorance, complicity and more prevent public figures from intervening. If they did they could have their careers/lives terminated. Once again, I ask you to think about the public figures who were truly worthy of some respect who've

met untimely ends due to assassinations, accidents and supposed suicides and you'll see a pattern. They all challenged the media mafia or the war mongers or the conglomerates profiteers etc and so paid the price. Very bluntly stated we do not live in a democracy only a quasi democracy. And our real rights are attacked/diminished every time oppressive laws are passed or restrictions are placed on our freedom of expression, association etc. Terrorism, political correctness, fear mongering and so on can facilitate such things as: detention without representation; conviction without notification; compulsory participation (voting, jury duty, military service); fines/bans for fairly criticizing officials/systems; unaccountable agency operations involving torture, framing and covert experimentation; draconian/restrictive deformation laws to silence powerful critics etc etc. All of these things are undemocratic and wrong yet that assessment itself also cannot be discussed publicly. We may only hear the justifications not the challenges. Yet censorship of any part of the truth (or honest discussion of what is the truth) is undemocratic.

SOLUTIONS

The most obvious solution appears the most unlikely and that's to engender honesty, integrity and good character into our youth so that those attaining positions of authority/power will not abuse it or us.

Regardless, belligerent resistance is required. We must persist in trying to expose the methods used to conceal important facts. The biggest frustration is the realization that without the cooperation of corruptible people in positions of authority/influence we cannot succeed. Our democratic/human rights are in jeopardy both individually and as a society but few people realise this. The UN and European Parliament can talk all they like but if no one reports it...

Again, and sadly, I've no doubt that the day will come when satellite brain wave scanning leads to the situation where all effective challenges to criminals in high places will be stymied at the source before we even verbalise or write about it. People will become conditioned to acceptance and neutralisation with every perceivable tactic being known to the criminal elite ahead of time. It seems to me that one day a lack of intelligence, integrity and intestinal fortitude will prevent most from even recognising the problems I'm referring to let alone solving them.

This could be fought if, at the schooling level the tendency to suppress views (to stifle truly free thinking) was eliminated in the interests of democracy and fair progress. Such a "revolution" would force a freeing up of previously suppressed information because people would then "demand to know" instead of being "happy to accept" whatever they're told. Sadly the ruling conglomerates brainwashing of our youth is thorough and utterly controlling. Students just regurgitate what they're told without question, or their life prospects are greatly diminished. Still, it's not so much what is taught as it is what is not taught: ethics, corruption control, alternate solutions/theories etc.

An example of the brainwashing at play is the notion that non-western, non-democratic countries are the only ones who indoctrinate the population and incarcerate dissidents (free thinkers usually) while in the west we enjoy total freedom of (peaceful) expression. Yet as you now know (if you didn't already) the treatment of those who question the evil that is hidden behind the ruling conglomerates facades is as brutal as

any it's just all done in secret. Still if the good people of the planet knew this the world would be a much better place because the weight of public opinion would force change. And this is the value of persisting with our efforts to raise awareness even though it seems our attempts just create a ripple in a big pond. Another hope is that those of us working to expose the truth may inspire others who may one day get through to the public figures who facilitate all of this and bring about a change of heart...

A huge obstacle to this is the control of certain professions (politics, publishing, journalism, psychiatry etc) through agencies and organised crime syndicates. This leads to the public brainwashing. In fact the term "brainwashing" was itself introduced by a CIA spy, Ed Hunter, working as a journalist. Similarly the term "paranoid schizophrenia" was introduced by CIA psychiatrists. So, the agencies and the mafia (etc) plant people in key positions and recruit more from within the key professions. This is why it's difficult to locate any genuine people in public life and when you do they're afraid of being targeted just for retaining a little integrity/humanity; they're surrounded by spies/criminals. Acceptance of such a harsh truth is itself contrary to the brainwashed mindset we've been given. "Spies and gangsters only torment each other" is the conventional media reinforced thinking but that's simply not true. They're everywhere. They stage-manage world events and our perception of them. This is what they do for a living.

CONCLUSION: Today censorship has become a twisting, controlling factor in how we view the world we live in. It seems it's acceptable to publicly promote many pornographic, profane, blasphemous, violent, irresponsible entertainments (and activities) yet it's clearly unacceptable (to the powers that be) to discuss the ugly truth about the hidden evils of this world. So, the defining factor is not whether it's right or wrong but whether it generates money. There's money in sex, drugs, war, fraud, illegal experiments and so on therefore they're alright; "they" promote what they want and hide the rest. However, what you don't know really does hurt you.

Also "we can therefore we do" seems to be the prevailing philosophy. There's little real regard for morality or ethics or how it affects other people. It's all about money and control. Integrity and justice are replaced by self delusion and public deception and most public figures willingly participate. It's a tragic state of affairs but ignoring it won't help.

So, in our quasi democratic system not only does the real truth not get a mention but bans, lies, torture and more prevent concerned citizens from even presenting the truth in any but the most marginalized fashion (such as in this magazine). It's as if false labels are placed on the most vital pieces of information about technology, public accountability/integrity and more. Lies are labeled truth (and vice versa) and tyranny is labeled freedom etc while they tell us (deceptively) "Hear what we say, see how we act, think as we do, be as we are" (or else). Those of us who see through this nonsense must endure harassment that tests our resolve, our courage and our endurance whilst they gloat over every pain, every reaction and more. Simply put the tyrant loves his money and so treats God (and his people) with disdain. Little wonder that those opposing evil in this world are put through a great persecution courtesy of those running certain government "black operations", funded by criminals and their illegal practices (drugs etc) and hidden by those same criminals, their media connections and government secrecy provisions.

What's needed is peaceful, genuine protest and an increased public awareness of the problems at hand, in particular the methods used to suppress information through the oppression of individuals who have some sort of insight. And I'm not talking about intelligence but integrity/truth. If there was nothing wrong the powers that be would discuss all matters/technologies publicly but they can't/won't do that because there'd be a public outcry against their crimes and they'd have to stop. They'd rather keep us in a dream state looking at the world the way they want us to.

In keeping with my own beliefs regarding integrity, sincerity and loyalty I offer the following quotes for your consideration...

1. "You can chain me, you can torture me, you can destroy this body, but you will never imprison my mind" – Mahatma Gandhi
2. "The world is a dangerous place to live; not because of the people who are evil, but because of the people who don't do anything about it" – Albert Einstein
3. "Our lives begin to end the day we become silent about things that matter" – Martin Luther King
4. "Resistance to tyrants is obedience to God" – Thomas Jefferson.

Perhaps those who also love peace, truth and their fellow man yet just stand by and watch the world go by would do well to think on such quotes. There are still many good men and women around us who share our ethics and beliefs. The only hope for our real democratic rights is for everyone to be fully informed maybe then true democracy can be resurrected along with the truths which the powers that be so corruptly bury. Having been mushroomed and dumbed down we must push aside the pride, arrogance and lazy thinking that can block out this truth even when we hear it. Again, on vital matters we must both want the truth and demand it whether it's comfortable and convenient for us or not. Also while the truth never changes our perception of it can change if we're not given all the facts and again what we don't know can hurt us. Only respect for the dignity of the individual can save us from the worst that the oppressors can deliver. If we don't act now it will be farewell to many of our basic human rights: the right to free speech, the right to personal privacy, even the right to freedom of thought/expression. In fact...
R.I.P. democracy itself.